

1 **ASHP Statement on Bar-code Verification During Inventory, Preparation, and Dispensing**  
2 **of Medications**

3  
4 **Position**

5 The American Society of Health-System Pharmacists encourages hospital and health-system  
6 pharmacies to incorporate bar-code scanning into inventory management, dose preparation and  
7 packaging, and dispensing of medications. The purpose of such scanning is to ensure that drug  
8 products distributed, deployed to intermediate storage areas, or used in the preparation of patient  
9 doses are the correct products, are in-date, and have not been recalled. Such bar-code scanning  
10 should be employed in:

- 11 • stocking of inventory both in the pharmacy and in other locations from which patient  
12 medications may be dispensed (e.g., an automated dispensing device);
- 13 • manual packaging of oral solid and liquid medications;
- 14 • compounding, repackaging, and labeling processes (e.g., scanning of source ingredients);
- 15 • retrieving medications from automated dispensing devices; and
- 16 • dispensing from the pharmacy to any location.

17 Prudent use of bar-coding technology in these processes will enhance patient safety and the  
18 quality of care by improving the accuracy of core pharmacy functions, closing potentials gaps in  
19 the bar-code-enabled medication administration (BCMA) process, and allowing better allocation  
20 of pharmacists' knowledge and skills.

21

22

23

## 24 **Background**

25 Discussion of the role of technology in improving medication safety almost universally focuses  
26 on BCMA or computerized provider order entry (CPOE), despite evidence of medication errors  
27 that neither CPOE nor BCMA could prevent.<sup>1,2</sup> A number of activities in the medication-use  
28 process create opportunities for error outside of medication ordering and administration systems,  
29 such as:

- 30 • Receiving of inventory from suppliers and stocking of inventory locations from which  
31 patient medications may be dispensed (e.g., stocking unit-based automated dispensing  
32 devices with medications that may not be delivered to the bedside in their original  
33 packaging).
- 34 • Packaging of medications, which has become more prevalent as BCMA systems are more  
35 widely adopted by health systems and manufacturers have discontinued unit dose  
36 packaging of medications.
- 37 • Manual packaging of liquid medications in ready-to-administer form.
- 38 • The compounding of medications.
- 39 • The dispensing of patient-specific medications (e.g., 24-hour medication carts, nurse  
40 servers).

41 In addition, for BCMA to function, a vast majority of doses must be accurately bar coded,  
42 meaning there must be a highly reliable relationship between the information in the bar code and  
43 the contents of the dose. Additionally, the bar code must be readable by commercially-available  
44 scanners. Although doses delivered directly from manufacturer-labeled packages generally meet  
45 these conditions, there are numerous drug products that may not:

- 46       • Commercial products may lack a readily readable bar code, may have an irregular  
47       package shape that confounds the ability of scanning equipment to read the bar code, or  
48       may have a bar code in a symbology format that cannot be interpreted by the institution's  
49       bar-code scanning software.
- 50       • Nurse-prepared medications (e.g., insulin doses, heparin boluses or syringes pre-drawn in  
51       the operating room) may be prepared at a location other than the patient's bedside, with  
52       the result that there is no labeling of any kind on the dose when it is administered.
- 53       • Compounded medications (e.g., sterile preparations) are often labeled by the pharmacy  
54       with a bar code that references a prescription or order number that describes the intended  
55       contents of the prescribed dose but provides no assurance that the prescribed contents  
56       were actually used in the product's preparation.

57

### 58 **Benefits of Bar-code Verification During Inventory, Preparation, and Dispensing**

59 Initial estimates of the contribution of pharmacy dispensing errors to the overall medication  
60 errors were quite low.<sup>3</sup> However, recent reports have suggested that adding bar coding to the  
61 pharmacy dispensing process can significantly reduce opportunities for medication errors at the  
62 bedside and reduce the occurrence of potential adverse drug reactions.<sup>4-6</sup> Incorporating bar-code  
63 scanning in inventory management, dose preparation and packaging, and dispensing can improve  
64 patient safety in the following ways:

- 65       • Scanning during stocking in the pharmacy or patient-care locations (e.g., loading of an  
66       automated dispensing device) can help ensure that the product is placed in the correct  
67       location. Scanning during the retrieval of medications mitigates the hazards of erroneous  
68       medication stocking, which is especially important in the case of automated dispensing

69 devices, where there is a potential risk that caregivers will override controls and remove  
70 medications for immediate use.

- 71 • Scanning of source ingredients during compounding, repackaging, or labeling processes  
72 can ensure that labeled doses contain the appropriate ingredients. Additionally, such  
73 scanning creates a reliable link between the information in the final package's bar code,  
74 its contents, and the National Drug Code (NDC) of the source container, which may be  
75 required to satisfy billing requirements (e.g., those of the Centers for Medicare &  
76 Medicaid Services).
- 77 • Scanning on dispensing can help prevent look-alike, sound-alike medication substitution  
78 errors that are difficult to visually detect, can identify and remove from distribution drug  
79 products whose bar codes are missing or unreadable, and prevent the distribution of  
80 expired or recalled products or facilitate retrieval in case of a recall.
- 81 • Scanning during any of these activities permits accumulation of an audit trail for each  
82 transaction in the inventory, preparation, and dispensing process. This information  
83 provides indications of the frequency of error encounter and detection, a record of the  
84 amount of time needed to perform selected functions, and evidence of success or failure  
85 of manual processes to deliver the correct medication.

86 Bar-code verification is optimized, and its potential negative impacts on productivity minimized,  
87 when the scanning system is configured to use bar codes on bulk packages (e.g., the bar code on  
88 an unopened case of unit-dose-packaged tablets) to confirm the contents of each item in the case,  
89 especially during batch processes. For patient-specific doses, each individual container used for  
90 the dose must be scanned.

91           The equipment and training costs for a pharmacy-based bar-code scanning  
92 implementation is quite small, especially when compared to those of BCMA systems.<sup>7</sup>  
93 Pharmacy-based bar-code scanning implementation may be considered a prerequisite for BCMA  
94 success, because unreadable bar codes are a significant cause of BCMA implementation  
95 failures.<sup>8,9</sup>

96

### 97 **Limitations**

98 As with BCMA, adoption of bar code scanning within distribution processes creates the  
99 necessity to ensure that the scanning system will recognize and appropriately respond to every  
100 bar code it scans. This verification activity is likely to create significant additional work for the  
101 pharmacy. Pharmacies planning on implementing such systems must plan for the resources  
102 needed to ensure that properly bar-coded products are presented to, and readable by, the scanning  
103 system.

104           In addition, as with other bar-code technology implementations, pharmacy-based bar-  
105 code scanning systems will only be beneficial if appropriately deployed. For example, given the  
106 need to scan three vials of medication to prepare an IV admixture, such a system cannot  
107 distinguish between scanning each vial and scanning the same vial three times, although the  
108 latter defeats the purpose of the scanning. Any program of pharmacy-based bar-code scanning  
109 should be accompanied by appropriate training, policies, and procedures to promote and  
110 optimize safe use of the system, as well as a regular program of auditing to ensure that the  
111 program is being properly deployed by staff. Additionally, such programs require hospitals and  
112 health systems to compile and maintain a complete database of bar codes in use throughout the  
113 institution. The availability of such information in a timely fashion is a well-recognized

114 problem.<sup>10</sup> An incomplete database or the absence of bar codes on drug products can undermine  
115 the entire system, as the system cannot properly recognize and evaluate the drug products being  
116 scanned. Procedures should address such issues as the expected behavior while scanning occurs,  
117 specific prohibited acts, and the penalties associated with known at-risk behavior.<sup>11</sup>

118 In addition, this statement should not be interpreted to express a preference for bar-code  
119 scanning over other forms of automated identification of medications. Currently, bar coding is  
120 the least-expensive mechanism to introduce and deploy throughout the medication management  
121 cycle.<sup>12</sup> Should other technologies (e.g., radio-frequency identification) demonstrate similar or  
122 better capabilities, the principles articulated in this statement will continue to apply.

123

#### 124 **Validation**

125 As with all such systems, bar coding on dispensing presumes that the scanning software, the  
126 scanning hardware, and the associated underlying database are accurate and complete. To ensure  
127 accuracy and completeness, organizations using a bar coding process will need to validate both  
128 that the software operates as expected and that the underlying database information is correct and  
129 reliable. A process will also need to be in place to immediately remediate problems if it is  
130 discovered that the hardware, software, or database are not operating properly.

131

#### 132 **Conclusion**

133 Prudent use of bar-code scanning in inventory management, dose preparation and packaging, and  
134 dispensing of medications can enhance patient safety and the quality of care. Such scanning also  
135 provides the opportunity to accumulate and use statistics on the pharmacy distributive operation

136 that can direct more appropriate staffing, identify sources of routine error, and generally permit  
137 better management of the drug distribution process.

138

### 139 **References**

- 140 1. Wolf R. Preventable medication error responsible for infant deaths. Injury Board.com.  
141 Available at: [http://dallas.injuryboard.com/medical-malpractice/preventable-medication-](http://dallas.injuryboard.com/medical-malpractice/preventable-medication-error-responsible-for-infant-deaths.aspx?googleid=206592)  
142 [error-responsible-for-infant-deaths.aspx?googleid=206592](http://dallas.injuryboard.com/medical-malpractice/preventable-medication-error-responsible-for-infant-deaths.aspx?googleid=206592) (accessed 2010 Jan 11).
- 143 2. Institute for Safe Medication Practices. Failed check system for chemotherapy leads to  
144 pharmacist's no contest plea for involuntary manslaughter.  
145 [www.ismp.org/Newsletters/acutecare/articles/20090423.asp](http://www.ismp.org/Newsletters/acutecare/articles/20090423.asp) (accessed 2010 Mar 4).
- 146 3. Bates DW, Cullen DJ, Laird N, et al. Incidence of adverse drug events and potential adverse  
147 drug events. Implications for prevention. ADE Prevention Study Group. *JAMA*. 1995;  
148 274:29-34.
- 149 4. Cina J, Fanikos J, Mitton P, et al. Medication errors in a pharmacy-based bar-code-  
150 repackaging center. *Am J Health-Syst Pharm*. 2006; 63:165-8.
- 151 5. Poon EG, Cina JL, Churchill W, et al. Medication dispensing errors and potential adverse  
152 drug events before and after implementing bar code technology in the pharmacy. *Ann Intern*  
153 *Med*. 2006;145:426-34.
- 154 6. Ragan R, Bond J, Major K, et al. Improved control of medication use with an integrated bar-  
155 code-packaging and distribution system. *Am J Health-Syst Pharm*. 2005; 62: 1075-9.
- 156 7. Maviglia SM, Yoo JY, Franz C, et al. Cost-benefit analysis of a hospital pharmacy bar code  
157 solution. *Arch Intern Med*. 2007;167(8):788-94.
- 158 8. Koppel R, Wetterneck T, Telles JL, et al. Workarounds to barcode medication  
159 administration systems: their occurrences, causes and threats to patient safety. *J Am Med*  
160 *Inform Assoc*. 2008;15:408-23. DOI 10.1197.
- 161 9. Young D. VA pursues bar code quality. *Am J Health-Syst Pharm*. 2004; 61: 1312-4.
- 162 10. ASHP statement on bar-code-enabled medication administration technology. *Am J Health-*  
163 *Syst Pharm*. 2009; 66:588-90. Available at:  
164 <http://www.ashp.org/DocLibrary/BestPractices/AutoITStBCMA.aspx>. (accessed 2010 Mar  
165 4).

- 166 11. ASHP policy position 0910: reporting medication errors. In: Hawkins B, ed. *Best practices*  
167 *for hospital and health-system pharmacy: positions and guidance documents of ASHP.*  
168 2009-2010 ed. Bethesda, MD: American Society of Health-System Pharmacists; 2006:160.  
169 Available at: [www.ashp.org/DocLibrary/BestPractices/MedMisPositions09.aspx](http://www.ashp.org/DocLibrary/BestPractices/MedMisPositions09.aspx) (accessed  
170 2010 Mar 08).
- 171 12. Cummings J, Bush P, Smith D, et al. Bar-coding medication administration: overview and  
172 consensus recommendations. *Am J Health-Syst Pharm.* 2005; 62:2626-9.

173

### 174 **Additional Reading**

175 Bates DW, Cullen JC, Laird N et al. Incidence of adverse drug events and potential adverse drug  
176 events. *JAMA.* 1995; 274:29–34.

177

178 Nold EG, Williams TC. Bar codes and their potential applications in hospital pharmacy. *Am J*  
179 *Hosp Pharm.* 1985; 42: 2722–32.

180

181 GE Meyer, R Brandell, JE Smith, et al. Use of bar codes in inpatient drug distribution. *Am J*  
182 *Hosp Pharm.* 1991; 48: 953–66.

183

184 Santell JP, Hicks RW, McMeekin J, et al. Medication errors: experience of the United States  
185 Pharmacopeia (USP) MEDMARX reporting system. *J Clin Pharmacol.* 2003; 43:760–7.

186

187 JE Ness, SD Sullivan, and A Stergachis Accuracy of technicians and pharmacists in identifying  
188 dispensing errors *Am J Health-Syst Pharm.* 1994; 51: 354–7.

189

190 Oswald S, Caldwell R. Dispensing error rate after implementation of an automated pharmacy  
191 carousel system *Am J Health-Syst Pharm.* 2007; 64: 1427–31.

192

193 Cina J, Fanikos J, Mitton P, et al. Medication errors in a pharmacy-based bar-code-repackaging  
194 center. *Am. J. Health Syst. Pharm.* 2006; 63: 165–8.

195

196 Ambrose PJ, Saya FG, Lovett LT, et al. Evaluating the accuracy of technicians and pharmacists  
197 in checking unit dose medication cassettes. *Am. J. Health Syst. Pharm.* 2002; 59: 1183–8.

198

199 Shane R. Current status of administration of medicines. *Am. J. Health Syst. Pharm.* 2009; 66:  
200 s42–s48.

201

202 Blair R. Medication transformation: pharmacists on the floor. A Midwest healthcare system takes  
203 bar code technology to new heights, as part of an enterprise initiative to optimize patient safety.  
204 *Health Manag Technol.* 2004; 25(10):26, 28, 30.

205

206 Nanji KC, Cina J, Patel N, et al. Overcoming barriers to the implementation of a pharmacy bar  
207 code scanning system for medication dispensing: a case study. *J Am Med Inform Assoc.* 2009;  
208 16:645–50. DOI:10.1197.

209

210 Q:\ppd\Practice Standards\DOCUMENT DRAFTS\STATEMENTS\Bar Coding - Dispensing\BarcodeDispensing-final-to-House.docx